REMARKS

This response is being submitted with a Request for Continued Examination.

Claims 1-6 stand rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness type double patenting over claims 1-8 of commonly owned U.S. Patent 6,757,683. Applicants have amended claims 1-6 to further distinguish over the claims of the '683 patent.

In particular, the cited claims are not directed to a download method which involves downloading web content when a kiosk is not in use or after store hours. The downloading method recited in the claims occurs while the kiosk is in use, following selection of a web page.

Claims 1-6 stand rejected under 35 USC §102(e) as anticipated by Ferguson. Like the '683 patent, Ferguson fails to disclose a download method which involves downloading web content when a kiosk is not in use or after store hours. The downloading method of Ferguson requires user selection of a subsequent web page. Caching of the subsequent web page occurs while the user is viewing a current web page.

Claims 1-6 stand rejected under 35 USC §103(a) as being unpatentable in view of Himmel. Himmel also fails to disclose a download method which involves downloading web content when a kiosk is not in use or after store hours. The downloading method of Himmel requires user selection of a bookmark for calling a web page. If a change in the address of the web page results in a redirection, the content of the bookmark is automatically updated.

Applicants now respectfully request that the pending claims be allowed.

Respectfully submitted,

Paul Martin
Attorney for Applicants
(937) 445-2990

Dayton, OH

NOV 19 2004